

# LOHC positioning among hydrogen storage technologies

Article by Alice JACQUET, Market analyst, Infinergia Consulting  
July, 2021

---

Constantly growing energy needs imply development of new power plant capacities. In 2019, global electricity domestic consumption exceeded 24 000 TWh<sup>1</sup> compared to 11 000 TWh ten years before. Climatic context leads us to develop new energy production facilities that do not harm the environment. But solar and wind intermittency imply a new constraint on immediate electricity consumption or storage for later use. Quantity of stored electricity compared to direct consumption is low: 800 GWh<sup>2</sup> in 2019. Large scale electricity storage solutions lack to face this growing renewable energy fluctuation. Several solutions already exist. Pumped hydraulic storage is the most widespread to store electricity (98%). Other means tend to develop, including battery storage or "Power-to-Gas-to-Power". P2G2P consists of using a temporary storage in a gaseous form of the electricity in order to release it later in the electrical form. Hydrogen is more and more considered as a promising vector for storing electrical energy.

You certainly did not miss recent hydrogen activity: hydrogen vector is strongly considered as a way to decarbonize a significant part of tomorrow usages. Several countries integrated it in their development plan. For instance, Australia, whose "H2 under 2" objective aims to produce a kg of green hydrogen below 2\$<sup>3</sup>. Despite the CO2 content of current hydrogen production processes, trend is to develop clean hydrogen, that is to say hydrogen whom production does not emit harmful particles and comes from a renewable source. Idea is to develop massively such production within next years. If you were wondering why 98% of current hydrogen production is still CO2 emitter, major reason is economic. Producing 1kg of the renewable molecule costs between 5 and 30€<sup>4</sup> (15 to 90 c€/kWh), which is up to 19 times more expensive than the industrial molecule. Like photovoltaic industry a few years ago, "giga factories" of electrolyzers are developing and will contribute to lower production costs. However, the logistics involved in hydrogen should not be overlooked, as it is not necessarily consumed where it is produced. We must enable the storage of large hydrogen quantities and transport them in an efficient way. As you will understand, large-scale storage will play a fundamental role in the hydrogen economy of tomorrow.

## How is hydrogen stored?

Hydrogen is mostly stored in a tank either in liquid or gaseous form. It is also possible to integrate the molecule into materials through so-called absorption or adsorption processes. The choice of a storage system will therefore depend on logistical parameters (e.g., quantity, duration and methods of transport) and economic criteria. Anyway, transporting such gas is expensive. To be economically meaningful, it is essential to increase the storage density of the gas. How can we compare hydrogen storage technologies and determine the most relevant versus logistics and economics parameters?

Several options exist:

- Hydrogen gaseous tank storage. This widespread option offers only low storage densities and requires having extended safety zones to the high pressures plus the flammable nature of hydrogen.
- Cryogenic liquid storage at -253°C. Interesting way to increase storage density, it's however very energy-consuming both in the liquefaction and storage process. This solution is not compatible with long term storage

---

<sup>1</sup> [Global Energy Statistical Yearbook](#), Enerdata, 2020

<sup>2</sup> [Energy Storage Market Report](#), US DOE, 2020

<sup>3</sup> [Australia's pathway to \\$2 per kg hydrogen](#), ARENA, 2020

<sup>4</sup> [Nouvelle énergie verte](#), ADEME

due to transfer and evaporation losses. Indeed, 30 to 40% of hydrogen energy content is required in the liquefaction process<sup>5</sup> and its daily evaporation rate is between 1 and 15%, depending on the stored quantities<sup>6</sup>.

- Ammonia as an intermediary carrier. The logistics benefits from its high volumetric density of hydrogen and its stability for long-term storage. Main danger is its toxicity : a less than 10 minutes exposure at 1%-concentration can be fatal.
- Metallic hydrides storage. Without compression step and feasible at pressures below 30 bar. They allow the storage of Hydrogen for long periods without any risk of leakage, if no heat source is provided. The challenge of this type of storage relates to the very low thermal conductivity of the system; it is necessary to optimize the heat transfer rate to reduce transfer times.
- Liquid hydrogen carrier storage. Currently only used at demonstration scale, this technology seems to be of interest for the storage, transport and release of energy on a large scale.

It is interesting to compare these different storage medium according to their gravimetric density, noted wt.-%, which means here the capacity of a medium to integrate a part of hydrogen. As an example, a material with a gravimetric density of 1.5% and a mass of 60 kg will be able to integrate 0.9 kg of hydrogen.




Figure 1 – Hydrogen storage medium capacity. Source<sup>7</sup>

### What are the hydrogen transport options?

- Pipelines. Low operational cost makes it possible to supply large volumes of hydrogen. However, the properties of hydrogen (corrosion, leaks, etc.) limit its injection into existing infrastructure since its long-term use degrades pipelines (embrittlement of steel). Pipeline transport is mainly considered in already existing structures because of important investments cost. Two kinds of structures can be used: 100% hydrogen dedicated pipelines and natural gas networks conversion, feasible within a limit of approx. 20% of hydrogen without degrading the structure<sup>8</sup>.
- Tube trailers. Widespread option for mid-quantity transport (400 kg of H<sub>2</sub>) in metallic tanks. Costly solution due to the pressurisation step plus trucks transport (maintenance, fuel, depreciation, etc.). Composite tanks recently entered the market allowing to store hydrogen at a higher pressure (220 bars vs 500 bars). More expensive than metallic ones their storage capacity can be up to 1100kg, allowing to further amortize operational costs.
- Cryogenic. First choice option to transport large quantities when there are no pipelines. No negligible downside, liquefaction step is costly and energy-consuming: process consumes more than 30% of hydrogen energetic content

<sup>5</sup> [Ammonia to Green Hydrogen Project](#), 2019

<sup>6</sup> [Boil-off losses along the LH2 pathway](#), Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, 2018

<sup>7</sup> Compilation of INFINERGIA data from multiple sources

<sup>8</sup> STRORENGY [GRHYD](#) project in Dunkerque

and leakages are really important (even more when the storage area is large). Liquid hydrogen road trucking makes more sense over long distances (>400 km) than gaseous trucking since larger amounts of hydrogen can be stored.

- Ammonia vector use. Few demonstration projects in planning. Ammonia production, transport, hydrogen dissociation and compression costs will need to be qualified, but ammonia transportation seems to make more economic sense than gaseous hydrogen transportation.
- LOHC option. Less expensive investment for trailer compared to previous options and also lower operational costs (maintenance) with the ability to compete on large-scale storage field.

Storage-transport option	Advantages	Disadvantages
Liquid hydrogen <i>LH<sub>2</sub></i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pure hydrogen</li> <li>• High storage density</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Storage temperature: -253°C</li> <li>• Investments to maintain the temperature</li> <li>• Energy-consuming process</li> <li>• Strong spontaneous discharge (leaks)</li> </ul>
Ammonia <i>NH<sub>3</sub></i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Stability</li> <li>• Existing infrastructures</li> <li>• Pressure: ~10bars</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Toxic molecule</li> <li>• High temperature and not mature cracking</li> </ul>
Liquid Organic Hydrogen Carriers <i>LOHC</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Existing infrastructures</li> <li>• Atmospheric pressure</li> <li>• Storage viability &gt;1 year</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Energy requirement to release the hydrogen</li> <li>• Liquid carrier repatriation to the point of dispatch</li> </ul>

Table 1 - Advantages and disadvantages of hydrogen storage and transport options

### Focus on liquid hydrogen carriers

#### What characteristics?

LHC principle is using a reversible chemical conversion process allowing to store hydrogen in a different chemical state from molecular hydrogen: the hydrogen is linked with a liquid.

Liquid organic carriers (LOHC), a subset of liquid carriers, seem promising for hydrogen storage and transportation.

Process is made of two steps:

- The hydrogenation (*LOHC+*) allowing to load hydrogen into a liquid carrier. In this state, the loaded carrier can be stored and distribute without any losses.

- If hydrogen is required, carrier can be unloaded independently of storage duration and location. This is called the dehydrogenation step (LOHC-).




Figure 2 – Loading and unloading steps of hydrogen into and out of a carrier

Several carriers can load hydrogen.

[HYDROGENIOUS](#) and [H2 Industries](#) players are using the liquid carrier DBT (*Dibenzyl Toluene*) which the advantage is currently being widely used as a heat transfer fluid and being commercialised on average at 5€ per kg<sup>9</sup>. DBT has the following benefits: there is no explosion nor flammability risk. The molecule toxicity is low compared to diesel or gasoline and its liquid state at ambient temperature allows to target mobility applications – no need to undertake constraining processes. However, liquid organic carriers tend to degrade over time with carbon emissions. Silicon-based carriers containing no carbon recently went off. The French start-up [HySiLabs](#) positioned on this field, uses a silicon-based carrier called “HydroSil”.

Hydrogenious<sup>10</sup> estimated that one truck trailer can load up to:

- 400 kg of gaseous hydrogen at 200 bars,
- 1 800 kg of hydrogen with LOHC using DBT,
- 3 300 kg of liquid hydrogen at -253°C.

Carried out under ambient conditions, LOHC transportation technology seems relatively not constraining. Large-scale hydrogen quantities can be safely transported and in a flexible way. Trains and supertanker boats are being considered to transport several tonnes of hydrogen in the future.

### What status for LOHC technology?

However promising, LOHC projects are still very rare and at demonstration phasis. Following costs are likely to limit its expansion for now: unloading process require energy, hydrogen purification and resend of the carrier liquid to the point of dispatch. An economic analysis carried out by the European Commission indicates that the costs of releasing the hydrogen contained in the carrier represents more than two thirds of the total transport cost<sup>11</sup>.

It is now fair to consider which hydrogen storage-transport technology makes sense depending on logistical aspects. Take the advantages and disadvantages earlier enounced and add two more reading keys: distance and transport duration. We can conclude that :

- Pipelines are relevant for transporting large amounts of hydrogen for as many km as the network extends.
- Cryogenic option is suited for longer distances but is limited by duration. After about ten days, too much of the hydrogen will have evaporated because of the leaks.

<sup>9</sup> LOHC production cost estimation study, FCH-JU, 2019

<sup>10</sup> [Hydrogenious](#), LOHC technology supplier

<sup>11</sup> [Assessment of Hydrogen Delivery Options](#), 2021

- Liquid carriers make sense over very large distances and without time limitation because of the no spontaneous leaks technology. Limitation is about the unloading energy cost.

	<b>Pipelines</b>	<b>Cryogenic</b>	<b>Liquid carriers</b>
<b>Long distances</b>	+	+	++
<b>Duration limitation</b>	-	++	-
<b>CAPEX</b>	++	++	-
<b>OPEX</b>	-	++	++ <i>(Highly depending on electricity cost)</i>

*Table 2 - Comparison of storage-transport technologies*

LOHC technology seems relevant firstly on niche markets as for example transport over long time and distances. This kind of scenario can in particular be considered in large hydrogen import contracts. Germany has just announced its agreement to import large hydrogen quantities from Australia. The port distance from Perth to Hamburg of over 20,000 km and the 30-day journey make cryogenic transport unimaginable and carrier transport appropriate<sup>12</sup>.

At Infinergia, we have strong skills in hydrogen energy technologies and the analysis of its application markets. We are a consulting firm specialized in the adoption of innovation in sustainable energy field. In particular, we can help in qualifying or quantifying target markets, defining market priorities (by segment or by country) and also provide support for market entry or business development. Do not hesitate to contact us via our [website](#).

<sup>12</sup> Considering a speed of 15 nautical knots, i.e., around 30 km / h